

Weekly OCII and SFDPH Conference Call Talking Points

12:15 pm-1:00 pm, Telephone

Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

January 11, 2021 | Internal Use Only

<u>San Francisco Department of Public Health</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Amy Brownell, Hunters Point Lead- Patrick Fosdahl, Director Environmental Health	<u>Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Kasheica McKinney, Hunters Point Project Manager
--	---

Next Meeting

The next meeting is February 8 at 12:15 pm. In December, we suggested meeting once a month in 2021. I looked at the calendar and tried to schedule after the scheduled BCT meetings

Today's Participants – Yolanda, John, Amy, Kasheica,

Communications/Meetings

- **Media:**

- One opinion piece from Dr. Sumchai on Medium (and maybe in the SF BayView?)

- **Community events/interest:**

- **Title VI complaint against SFDPH and BAAQMD on dust issues at Parcel A** – The complaint against SFDPH has been dismissed; the complaint against BAAQMD has been accepted for further investigation. Can we share the letter that was sent to SFDPH?
- **Parcel A Fact Sheet** – EPA has the Chinese translation of the Parcel A fact sheet to take up OCII on its offer to do a second review of that translation. Can I send directly to Kasheica? Yes!
- **Letter to HPS CAC on EPA's role** – EPA is working on a letter to the Committee to better explain EPA's role at the site and communicate the Navy is the lead agency; therefore, the Navy is the lead spokesperson for the work and should be the lead in addressing questions from the public.
- **Navy's Community Involvement Plan (CIP) fact sheet** – The Navy just issued this fact sheet and sent an email to its stakeholder group. We had submitted comments in May 2020, but we did not receive any response from the Navy on our comments.
- **SF Shipyard HOA meeting:** The Navy is working with the SF Shipyard HOA to participate in a January 28, 2021 meeting. Do we reach out directly to the SF Shipyard HOA for an invitation? Yes – just ask the Navy.
- **Communicating schedule of radiological retesting to the public.** We will continue to push the Navy to find a way to clearly and consistently communicate a moving timeline on the radiological retesting to the public. We all need to set-up realistic expectations and communicate the precision of the process. Kim O with the Navy seemed open with the management team to discuss something that shows the sequencing of parcels. Is this a topic to bring up again at the February Transfers meeting?
- **Urine Screening:** Any hope on the Navy addressing this?
- **Never Surrender: The Fight for EJ in BVHP:** Any feedback from the community?

Internal Use Only

- **Dust questions on Parcel A:** In November, a SF Shipyard resident at a recent Navy technical advisor's office hours event about dust and naturally-occurring asbestos. I shared Amy's contact information as well as contact information from the naturally-occurring asbestos folks in BAAQMD.
- **EPA/Navy letters community involvement:** Navy sent EPA a response to our August 2020 letter on its community involvement and outreach program. The Navy did commit to doing an evaluation of its community outreach and involvement program.
- **Congressional interest:** None.
- **FOIA:** Our site attorneys continue to work on the *monster* inquiry from Alston Bird law firm in Los Angeles asking for about 47 different topics from 2001-present. Still working on the FOIA from Hanson Bridgett FOIA.
- **Supervisor Haney has requested a hearing on Treasure Island.** SFDPH will participate. The Navy may participate.

OCII team – Mayor Breed has appointed Sally Oerth as the Interim Executive Director of OCII. Sally has a wealth of experience at OCII. There will likely be a national recruitment process for this mayoral appointed position.

Health & Safety Scans onsite – The Navy has issued a final work plan and plans to do work in the Spring. Is the City still planning to request CDPH to do an independent scan? OCII may reach out to Supervisor Walton's office on this request to reach out to Mark Starr.

Parcel G Building RGs Long-term Protectiveness Evaluation: In late December, EPA issued a response to the Navy's early December response letter to EPA.

- We encouraged the Navy to respond with requested information in a timely manner that would support its desire to start the work scanning the buildings in January 2021.
- Our letter also suggested a meeting between the FFA-signatories at the Director level.
- We asked the Navy to share the pertinent information to substantiate the claims of background levels and technical impracticability of implementing the numbers EPA shared in August.
- We haven't seen an example of the use of RESRAD for potentially radiologically-contaminated buildings to be determined appropriate for residential use. We really need to ensure the tools properly model exposure pathways for a child in a residential yard.
- The Navy did include a number of \$300 million to demolish the buildings. A long time ago, there were discussions about the developer demolishing the buildings prior to transfer; however, it is a different environment now.
- Kasheica had a discussion with one of the developer's representative about things for Parcel G, which included a conversation of RESRAD vs BPRG. She mentioned the agencies are trying to work through the approach that will happen specific to this site.
- We just received additional question from Dan Hirsch on our letter to the Navy on RESRAD and BPRG. It's important that EPA is being asked to defend the Navy's approach, so it's important to be prepared to respond to these questions.

Internal Use Only

Parcel G radiological retesting soil fieldwork: EPA continues with our field oversight and split sampling, alongside the state.

- We continue to work with the Navy and the state on the “memorandum to the file” which will document how the Navy will implement the new background value for Cesium and may complete a secondary evaluation on the ROCs. The state seems to be concerned the Navy will not call the new ‘clean up trigger’ for Cesium a new remediation goal.
- We continue to pay close attention to the Navy’s implementation of its **dust management and air monitoring plan**. Since September we continue to ask the Navy to obtain professional meteorological equipment (or stop the practice of subtracting upwind measurements). We have also pointed out where they are subtracting upwind measurements on low wind speed days, which is not the appropriate implementation of the Work Plan. In November, some of the air data numbers for short periods of time exceeded the 24-hour protective limits. We recently received this data and are looking into this.

Parcel D-1 Post-ROD change and LUC RD: EPA maintains, as we supported in our comments of September 9 and in our recent legal discussions, that the proposed change fundamentally changes the performance of the remedy and requires a ROD Amendment and associated public participation.